

Brandes Investment Partners
U.S. Value Equity Strategy Notes
Second Quarter 2026 (1 April – 30 June 2026)

The Brandes U.S. Value Equity Strategy rose 6.57% (gross of fees) in the quarter, underperforming its benchmark, the Russell 1000 Value Index, which was up 13.87%.

Positive Contributors

Technology stocks dominated equity market returns during the quarter as investor enthusiasm surrounding artificial intelligence (AI) continued to intensify. Semiconductor manufacturers, technology hardware companies, and other businesses viewed as beneficiaries of accelerating AI-related capital expenditures were among the strongest performers and drove much of market returns, including within the value index.

While our underweight to technology detracted from relative performance, several of our technology holdings were among the portfolio's top contributors. Memory semiconductor firm Micron, electronics manufacturing firm Flex, and technology distributor Arrow Electronics all appreciated sharply. Although Micron was the largest contributor to absolute returns, it was also one of the largest detractors from relative returns. As the stock appreciated, its weight in the benchmark increased until the June Russell rebalance, when it was moved out of the value index. We trimmed the position and eventually exited it during the quarter.

Other contributors included several health care companies whose share prices rebounded during the quarter. ICON recovered following the conclusion of an internal accounting investigation that had weighed on its shares in the first quarter. The company also reported improved customer and industry spending momentum. UnitedHealth and CVS both rose on increased market optimism around stabilising medical cost trends and improved Medicare Advantage margins.

Additionally, freight shipping company Knight-Swift benefited from renewed investor optimism surrounding freight pricing and industry fundamentals.

Performance Detractors

The dominant market theme during the quarter remained the exceptional performance of companies perceived to be direct beneficiaries of AI-related spending. The technology sector rose more than 80% and approached 20% of the Russell 1000 Value Index. The differences in technology exposure—and individual constituent performance—between the portfolio and the benchmark accounted for nearly all of our underperformance.

The benchmark's technology weight has increased mechanically along with rising share prices, resulting in a meaningful underweight for the portfolio after having been long overweight technology companies relative to the Russell 1000 Value Index.

Although our technology holdings appreciated in aggregate, our allocation to IT services detracted from performance, led by Cognizant, EPAM Systems, and Amdocs. While the market currently views AI as a threat to portions of the IT services industry, we believe select companies are positioned to help clients implement, integrate, and optimise new technologies, preserving the relevance of their value proposition.

Current investor sentiment toward IT services firms reminds us of the market scepticism that accompanied the industry's transition from on-premise software to cloud computing about a decade ago. While that shift initially raised concerns about disruption, it ultimately created meaningful implementation demand and new revenue opportunities for many IT services providers. We agree that AI will have an impact on IT services businesses, but we believe current market concerns may be overstated. Nonetheless, we remain cautious and highly selective, preferring companies focused on business transformation, consulting, and enterprise technology implementation rather than providers primarily competing on low-cost software development.

As mentioned above, our eventual underweight to Micron was also one of the largest relative detractors. Our lack of holdings of select strong performing semiconductor and technology hardware names in the benchmark, including Intel, AMD, and SanDisk, also weighed on relative returns.

Outside the technology sector, energy holdings Chevron, Halliburton, and SLB declined as oil prices weakened amid increasing optimism regarding a potential easing of geopolitical tensions in the Middle East. Materials firm Westlake also detracted after reporting disappointing results driven by softness in chemical markets.

Select Activity in the Quarter

We initiated positions in financial company Capital One and animal health care firm Zoetis.

Zoetis is the global leader in animal health, providing a broad portfolio of vaccines, medicines, and diagnostics across both companion animals and livestock. Zoetis has historically been able to generate what we consider attractive returns on capital, underpinned by its leading market share, diversified and broad global footprint, and leading research and development (R&D) capabilities. Additionally, the animal health market should continue to benefit from strong secular tailwinds, including increasing pet ownership, longer animal lifespans, and a rising need for protein production globally.

Despite these compelling fundamentals, the shares have been out of favour over the past year due to several factors, including heightened competition in the companion animal segment and a more cautious consumer spending environment. The company's guidance for the possibility of reduced growth and slower product pipeline has also weighed on the shares, along with a perceived lack of near-term catalysts.

From a long-term perspective, we believe these concerns understate the durability and quality of the franchise. Zoetis remains the largest and best-positioned player, in our view, in a consolidated industry with high barriers to entry driven by R&D intensity and deep commercial infrastructure. Its scale enables solid operating leverage and sustained innovation, with R&D investment running at roughly twice that of key competitors, supporting a robust pipeline across multiple therapeutic areas. While near-term growth may appear muted, we see the recent share-price weakness as an opportunity to invest in a well-positioned company at an appealing valuation.

As previously mentioned, we divested our holding in memory semiconductor company Micron. We initiated the investment in 2020 and added to the position in 2022 as an anticipated downturn in memory demand pressured the shares. In our view, the market was overly focused on the short-term cyclical risk, underappreciating both potential structural improvements within the industry and Micron's own strengthening competitive position. Industry consolidation had reduced the DRAM market to just three players, fostering more disciplined supply behaviour and potentially improved through-cycle economics relative to history. At the time, we believed Micron had meaningfully narrowed the technology and cost gap with peers while being in a good position to benefit from long-term secular tailwinds, including data growth, cloud computing, and increasing memory intensity across devices. Despite these improvements, the stock traded near trough-level valuations, offering what we considered an attractive margin of safety.

Our investment case played out better than initially anticipated. Micron benefited from both cyclical recovery and powerful secular drivers, most notably the rapid expansion of AI, which increased memory demand and supported industry profitability. Accordingly, we raised our intrinsic value estimates over time as the durability and magnitude of these tailwinds became clearer. However, the share price appreciated even more dramatically, driven by strong sentiment and investor focus on memory stocks as direct beneficiaries of AI-related capital spending. While its earnings- or cash flows-based valuation multiples do not appear excessive, we believe the current level of earnings may prove difficult to sustain over a full cycle. On broader and more stable valuation metrics such as enterprise value-to-sales, the company now trades near record multiples relative to its through-cycle economics. With the shares reaching our revised intrinsic value estimate, we exited the position.

Year-to-Date Briefing

The Brandes U.S. Value Equity Strategy gained 8.76% (gross of fees), underperforming the Russell 1000 Value Index, which rose 16.26% for the six months ended 30 June 2026.

While value has outperformed the broader market so far this year (Russell 1000 Value vs. Russell 1000), much of that outperformance occurred during the first two months of 2026. Since then, the increasing weight of semiconductor and other technology companies within the value index allowed it to largely keep pace with the broader market, trailing by only a modest amount during the second quarter.

Semiconductor stocks were the dominant driver of benchmark returns. Despite representing less than 5% of the benchmark at the start of the year, the industry generated nearly half of its year-to-date gains. Although the June Russell rebalance significantly altered index constituents and industry weights, technology remained the primary driver of benchmark performance. Without the sector's outsized appreciation, the benchmark returns would have been mid-single digits.

While the Brandes U.S. Value Equity Strategy performed in line with its benchmark in the first quarter, the increasingly concentrated, AI-driven market of the second quarter has led to underperformance versus both the broad and value indices for the year-to-date period. Our exposure to technology companies—or lack thereof in some cases—accounted for more than 100% of our underperformance.

At the security level, the detractors were similar to the second quarter, led by IT services holdings EPAM Systems, Cognizant, and Amdocs. Additionally, not owning several strong benchmark performers, including Intel, AMD, SanDisk, and Applied Materials, weighed heavily on relative returns. As in the second quarter, our eventual underweight to Micron also hurt relative performance, despite the holding being the strategy's largest absolute contributor.

Positive contributors were likewise consistent with the second quarter. AI beneficiaries and technology holdings Flex and Arrow Electronics appreciated significantly, while Knight-Swift, UnitedHealth, and CVS also contributed positively.

Current Positioning

As market returns have become increasingly concentrated in a small number of AI-related companies, we believe the index-agnostic Brandes U.S. Value Equity Strategy offers investors meaningful diversification relative to prevailing market exposures.

Our largest allocations remain in health care and financials. In our view, both sectors contain businesses that may ultimately benefit from technological advances and AI adoption without carrying the valuation and earnings risks embedded in many of today's most popular technology stocks. We continue to find favourable opportunities where near-term uncertainty has created a disconnect between price and long-term fundamentals.

Although technology is now our largest underweight relative to the benchmark, we continue to identify selective opportunities within the area, primarily in IT services and technology-adjacent businesses. We are attracted to companies that possess valuable proprietary data, deep customer relationships, or mission-critical products—characteristics that may strengthen their competitive positions as AI adoption expands. While not operating in the technology sector, our recent purchases such as insurer Arthur J. Gallagher and credit bureau Equifax reflect this theme. In each case, we believe the market has become overly focused on potential disruption risks while underappreciating the strategic assets and competitive advantages that we believe these businesses possess.

Looking ahead, we believe the current environment remains particularly attractive for disciplined value investors. Investor enthusiasm continues to be heavily concentrated in a narrow group of AI-related companies, often with limited regard to valuation or earnings risks. While the long-term potential of AI is significant, history suggests that periods of extreme optimism can create opportunities for active investors to uncover appealing investments elsewhere in the market. We believe that is the case today.

Across the portfolio, we are finding companies with strong competitive positions, durable cash-flow characteristics, and valuations that appear increasingly overlooked by investors. Many of these businesses possess attributes that could allow them to benefit from technological change rather than be disadvantaged by it. In our view, the portfolio's differentiated exposures can provide investors with meaningful diversification potential and make it a compelling complement to passive and growth-oriented approaches. It also offers what we consider a more attractive aggregate valuation profile than the benchmark, while remaining focused on long-term return potential. We remain encouraged by the prospects for value stocks and the risk-reward profile of our holdings.

For term definitions, please refer to <https://www.brandes.com/emea/termdefinitions>.

For index definitions, please refer to <https://www.brandes.com/emea/benchmark-definitions>.

MSCI has not approved, reviewed or produced this report, makes no express or implied warranties or representations and is not liable whatsoever for any data in the report. You may not redistribute the MSCI data or use it as a basis for other indices or investment products.

Diversification does not assure a profit or protect against a loss in a declining market.

The foregoing Quarterly Commentary reflects the thoughts and opinions of Brandes exclusively and is subject to change without notice. The information provided in the commentary should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell any particular security. It should not be assumed that any security transactions, holdings or sectors discussed were or will be profitable, or that the investment recommendations or decisions we make in the future will be profitable or will equal the investment performance discussed herein. European and emerging markets investing is subject to certain risks such as currency fluctuation and social and political changes; such risks may result in greater share price volatility. There is no assurance that any securities discussed herein will remain in an account's portfolio at the time you receive this report or that the securities sold have not been repurchased. The actual characteristics with respect to any particular account will vary based on a number of factors including but not limited to: (i) the size of the account; (ii) investment restrictions applicable to the account, if any; and (iii) market exigencies at the time of investment. Securities of small companies generally experience more volatility than mid and large sized companies. Although the statements of fact and data in this report have been obtained from, and are based upon, sources that are believed to be reliable, we cannot guarantee their accuracy, and any such information may be incomplete or condensed. Strategies discussed are subject to change at any time by the investment manager in its discretion due to market conditions or opportunities. The Brandes investment approach tends to result in portfolios that are materially different than their benchmarks with regard to characteristics such as risk, volatility, diversification, and concentration. Please note that all indices are unmanaged and are not available for direct investment. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. No investment strategy can assure a profit or protect against loss. Market conditions may impact performance. The performance results presented were achieved in particular market conditions which may not be repeated. Moreover, the current market volatility and uncertain regulatory environment may have a negative impact on future performance. The margin of safety for any security is defined as the discount of its market price to what the firm believes is the intrinsic value of that security. The declaration and payment of shareholder dividends are solely at the discretion of the issuer and are subject to change at any time.

Ireland/Europe: FOR PROFESSIONAL INVESTOR USE ONLY. Issued by Brandes Investment Partners (Europe) Limited (Brandes Europe), Alexandra House, The Sweepstakes, Ballsbridge, Dublin, D04 C7H2, Ireland. Registered in Ireland Number 510203. Authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland. This report is being provided for information purposes only, no representation or warranty is made, whether express or implied as to the accuracy or completeness of the information provided. To the fullest extent permitted by law Brandes Europe shall not be liable for any loss or damage suffered by any person as a result of the receipt of this report. Recipients of this report should obtain their own professional advice. The distribution of this report may be restricted by law. No action has been or will be taken by Brandes Europe to permit the possession or distribution of this report in any jurisdiction where action for that purpose may be required. Accordingly, this report may not be used in any jurisdiction except under circumstances that will result in compliance with any applicable laws and regulations. Persons to whom this report is communicated should inform themselves about and observe any such restrictions. This information is being issued only to, and/or is directed only at (i) persons who have professional experience in matters relating to investments or (ii) are persons falling within Article 49(2)(a) to (d) ("high net worth companies, unincorporated associations etc.") of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 or to whom it may otherwise lawfully be communicated (all such persons together being referred to as "Relevant Persons"). This communication must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not Relevant Persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this communication relates is available only to Relevant Persons and will be engaged in only with Relevant Persons. This report is a confidential communication to, and solely for the use of, the persons to whom it is distributed to by Brandes Europe.